

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: IMPRELIS HERBICIDE MARKETING,  
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION


MDL No. 2284  
11-md-02284

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO:  
ALL ACTIONS

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2017, upon consideration of the Appeal of George Jabol and Jean Burns (Claim 9013332, Docket No. 390), DuPont's response thereto (Docket Nos. 422, 469), and Appellants' Replies (Docket Nos. 464, 475), as well as the Arborist Panel decision (attached to Docket No. 451), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Appeal (Docket No. 385) is **DENIED in part and GRANTED in part**. This matter is **REMANDED** to the Imprelis Appeals Panel to clarify the error in the Panel's Written Statement as to the rating of tree #6.

BY THE COURT:

  
GENE E.K. PRATTER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE